EXHIBIT A

rang Sa

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ELLEN GAYLE MOORE, FANNIE McCONNELL, SPENCER WILLIAMS and ANITA BOWERS, on Behalf of Themselves and all Others Similarly)))
Situated,) CV-59-8U-3262-9
Plaintiffs,) CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
vs.) CLASS ACTION
LIBERTY NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendant.)) Plaintiffs Demand a) Trial by Jury)

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs bring this action both individually and on behalf of the class of persons defined below against Liberty National Insurance Company, and pursuant to their investigation, upon knowledge as to themselves and their own acts and otherwise upon information and belief, for their Complaint allege as follows:

I. JURISDICTIONAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Plaintiffs, Ellen Gayle Moore, Fannie McConnell, Spencer Williams and Anita Bowers are a citizens of DeKalb County, State of Alabama.
- 2. Defendant, Liberty National Insurance Company is an Alabama Corporation which conducts substantial business in many states. Liberty National Insurance Company and several life

insurance companies owned by Liberty National Insurance Company sold a substantial number of industrial insurance products, including, but not exclusive of life insurance, burial insurance, and health and accident insurance. Service Insurance Company of Alabama ("Service"), was a a wholly owned subsidiary of Liberty National Insurance Company, which has been merged into Liberty National Insurance Company is responsible for and has assumed the liabilities of Service. Prior to the merger referred to above, Service was operated by and controlled by Liberty National Insurance Company for the purpose of issuing insurance products to African-Americans. At all times Liberty National Insurance Company has controlled Service in all respects including but not limited to the establishment of premium rates and Policy administration and issuance policies and procedures. Liberty National Insurance Company and Service are hereinafter collectively referred to as "Liberty National" in this Complaint.

- 3. A substantial number of the events or omissions which gave rise to the Plaintiffs' claim took place in DeKalb County, Alabama.
- 4. Because the defendant resides in this district and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this district, venue is proper in this district and this division. Federal jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as to Plaintiffs' claim of race discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction of all remaining claims pursuant to § 1367.

II. NATURE OF THE CASE

5. This is a class action seeking redress for a nationwide fraudulent scheme and common course of conduct involving racial discrimination, deceptive sales practices, unconscionable conduct,

overreaching, fraud and deception by Liberty National Insurance Company relating to the training of its agents and the marketing, sale and administration of so-called "industrial life" insurance policies. As used in this Complaint, the terms "Policies", "Industrial Policies", "Industrial Insurance", "Industrial Life Insurance" refer to industrial life insurance products, including, but not limited to life insurance, burial insurance and health and accident insurance marketed, sold or administered by Liberty National.

- 6. This action is brought by Plaintiffs as a class action on behalf of all African-American persons who have (or had at the time of the Policy's termination) an ownership interest in one or more Industrial Policies issued by Liberty National, and whose Policies were issued and administered based upon the nationwide unconscionable scheme and common course of conduct described herein and who were thereby harmed (the "Class" or "Class Members").
- 7. Plaintiffs seek injunctive and equitable relief, compensatory damages, punitive damages and other remedies for Liberty National's unlawful, unconscionable and racially discriminatory conduct described herein in connection with the training of its agents and the sale and administration of Industrial Life insurance Policies to Plaintiffs and Class Members.
- 8. For more than fifty years, Liberty National has maintained a fraudulent, unlawful and unconscionable scheme in order to increase its own revenues and profitability to the detriment of Class Members, by implementing uniformly misleading, deceptive, unconscionable, and racially discriminatory sales and Policy administration practices. Liberty National instituted these practices, inter alia, in order to compete with other insurance companies offering similar types of Policies.
 - 9. Industrial Life Insurance is a life insurance product with relatively low face value

. - #10-99

which is typically, although not always, less than \$20,000, and premium payments which are intentionally designed to appear to the policy holder to be modest premium payments. These premium payments have been historically collected on a weekly or monthly basis by Liberty National sales agents.

- impoverished, unsophisticated and minority segments of the population and marketed the Industrial Life Insurance product for sale to these consumers. In addition, on information and belief, for many years, Liberty National Insurance Company, routinely, knowingly and intentionally caused Service to charge African-American individuals more for these Policies than Lil erty National Insurance Company charged similarly situated Caucasian individuals. On information and belief, to the extent this discriminatory practice changed, African-Americans who purchased Industrial Policies before this discriminatory practice changed, continued to pay the same premiums which had been established under Liberty National's discriminatory pricing system. Liberty National also, as part of its routine practice and procedures, prohibited its agents from selling ordinary life insurance policies to African-Americans. Liberty National was motivated by discriminatory intent and continues to discriminate against African-Americans in the manner set forth below.
- 11. Liberty National Insurance Company constructed the Industrial Policies with small face value and charged weekly or monthly premiums often under \$1.00. Liberty National has marketed these Policies through agents who are given exclusive territories, known as "debit routes." To effectuate the sale and administration of the Industrial Policies on a "debit" basis, Liberty National agents have been trained to personally visit the homes of Class Members residing on their

routes to collect the premiums and develop a personal relationship with the Class Members that would facilitate the sale of additional Policies. Liberty National has trained its agents to market and sell certain of these Policies as "burial" protection to manipulate the emotions of prospective policyholders by instilling in, or playing to, a sense of shame in leaving their loved ones without funds to pay for a funeral at the time of their death.

- 12. In designing, developing, marketing and selling these Policies, at all times Liberty National and its agents have known that they had targeted a disadvantaged segment of the population which was unsophisticated with respect to insurance and related financial dealings or affairs and illequipped to understand the unfamiliar and technical language of the Policies, or the complex and sophisticated methods of determining premium payments. Liberty National and its agents have also known that the premiums appear small and affordable to Class Members, and that at the time of purchase the benefits totaled more than these disadvantaged individuals could conceive of saving in their lifetime. Liberty National has known but has never disclosed to Class Members what these targeted, unsophisticated Class Members did <u>not</u> know; that with respect to certain Policies, the small premiums would far exceed the face value of the Policies during the insureds' lifetime.
- 13. Liberty National Insurance Company designed the Industrial Policies to create the illusion that they would provide valuable yet affordable benefits. In reality, the Policies are unconscionable products which were calculated to generate tremendous profits for Liberty National with little attendant risk to Liberty National and little or no economic benefit for the unsuspecting and vulnerable Plaintiffs and Class Members. At the same time, although the premiums appeared small and affordable, the premiums are exorbitant in relation to the minimal benefits actually

provided to Class Members. Further, Liberty National has known that, in the event of lapse for nonpayment of premium, Liberty National's practice is to conceal any cash value from its policyholders and to use any cash value to pay premiums until any and all value of the Policy is completely depleted.

- 14. Given the design features of the Industrial Policies, Liberty National has known that virtually no transfer of risk to Liberty National has taken place. Liberty National also has known that to the extent that any risk was transferred, it was *de minimis* and would quickly dissipate over the life of the Policies. As Liberty National expected, the Company's profits have continued to expand and the *de minimis* risk, if any, has been quickly eliminated.
- 15. Liberty National Insurance Company has trained, allowed or encouraged its agents to routinely sell Industrial Life Insurance products to Plaintiffs and Class Members when the Class Members' best interest would have been served, assuming a life insurance need existed, by traditional ordinary life insurance of similar face amounts.
- 16. Liberty National Insurance Company has trained, directed and knowingly allowed its agents to sell multiple Policies where policyholders had no need for the insurance and where multiple Industrial Policies were used to reach a cumulative total of face amount which, in the best interest of the Plaintiffs and Class Members, could have been achieved through use of ordinary policies of comparable value. Liberty National has had knowledge when multiple sales occurred through its established home office issuance procedures. Nevertheless, Liberty National has maintained this practice because of the excessive profitability of the Industrial Life Insurance products.
 - 17. Consistent with its effort to increase profits, Liberty National has knowingly and

intentionally set out to cut the administration costs associated with Industrial Policies even when doing so worked to the detriment of its African-American policyholders. Liberty National issued Industrial Policies which had, for many years, been serviced by agents on weekly debit routes. In furtherance of its plan to increase its profits and decrease its costs at the expense of African-American policyholders,—Liberty National has terminated or modified the practice of weekly premium collection by debit agents throughout the country on in-force Industrial Life Policies. Liberty National has not reduced premium payments, however, even though the costs associated with the weekly debit system were expense loaded into the premium at the time of Policy issuance. This practice of weekly premium collection by agents had been in place since the inception of certain Industrial Policies and was part of the course of dealing between the African-American policyholders and Liberty National.

- 18. Liberty National Insurance Company has also systematically failed to pay death benefits that were due to African-American policyholders under the Industrial Policies. Liberty National has improperly reaped millions of dollars in premiums and unpaid death benefits by virtue of its fraudulent, deceptive and overreaching policy administration practices.
- 19. Liberty National Insurance Company's plan, scheme and nationwide common course of conduct was designed to and did induce thousands of existing and prospective African-American policy owners to purchase Industrial Life Insurance Policies from Liberty National. Plaintiffs and Class Members have lost and/or face losing millions of dollars in premiums paid which exceed the face value of the Policies or which exceed a reasonable or appropriate total of premiums which should have been paid for their Policies.

- 20. The sales practices described herein have been successful for Liberty National. Liberty National has received millions of dollars of premium income on the Policies including millions of dollars of premiums which cumulatively exceed the reasonable or appropriate total of premiums which should have been paid for the Industrial Policies.
- and delivery of a policy of insurance. Insurance premiums are determined by multiplication of the rate (or unit charge) to the measure of exposure or amount of insurance provided in an insurance policy.
- 22. Rate making is the process of establishing rates used in insurance to estimate the future costs associated with the transfer of risk. Insurance rates are established by actuaries employed by insurance companies, including Liberty National. Actuaries hold themselves out, and are held out by their employers, to be professionals charged with the duty to act in the public interest. The American Academy of Actuaries has adopted Actuarial Standards of Practice as well as a Code of Professional Conduct. The Preface to the Actuarial Standards of Practice provides that Actuaries are expected to provide counsel which is not only in the clients' interest but also in the interest of the public. Actuaries are to act in the public interest with "competence, integrity and objectivity of a high order."
- 23. The premiums established and charged to the Plaintiffs and the Class by Liberty National for Industrial Insurance Policies are racially discriminatory, excessive, unfair, unconscionable, unlawful and unreasonable and were designed to and have yielded a rate of return on these insurance products for Liberty National which is unreasonable.

- 24. The running of the statute of limitations has been suspended with respect to any claims which Plaintiffs or other members of the Class have brought as a result of the unlawful and fraudulent course of conduct alleged herein. Liberty National affirmatively and fraudulently concealed its unlawful scheme and course of conduct from Plaintiffs and the Class. Plaintiff and the Class had no knowledge of Liberty National's discriminatory scheme and unlawful conduct, nor did they have any of the facts which might have led to the discovery of Liberty National's wrongdoing until some time shortly before the filing of the complaint.
- 25. In the alternative, the statute of limitations should be tolled under the doctrine of equitable tolling as a result of Liberty National's fraudulent, overreaching and unconscionable conduct alleged herein.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

26. Plaintiffs are residents of Collinsville, DeKalb County, Alabama. Ms. Moore is a 49 year old African-American who was charged a discriminatory premium on her life insurance Policy. Ms. McConnell is a 72 year old African-American who was charged a discriminatory premium on her insurance Policies. Mr. Williams is a 51 year old African-American who was charged a discriminatory premiums on his insurance Policies. Ms. Bowers is a 43 year old African-American who was charged a discriminatory premium on her insurance Policies.

Ellen Gavle Moore

27. Ellen Gayle Moore, is a resident of Collinsville, DeKalb County, Alabama. Ms. Moore is a 49 year old African-American who was charged a discriminatory premium on her life insurance Policy, and was also discriminated against as a result of Liberty National's discriminatory

practices alleged above.

- 28. Ms. Moore is a member of the Class defined above.
- 29. On November 15, 1954, Ms. Moore became the insured on an Industrial Life Insurance Policy #929921 which was issued by Service and is attached as Exhibit "A".
- 30. Ms. Moore paid her premiums based upon a racially-discriminatory premium rate and as a result paid more for her Policy than similarly situated Caucasian individuals would pay.

Fannie McConnell

- 31. Ms. McConnell is a member of the Class defined above.
- On April 5, 1965, Ms. McConnell became the insured on an Industrial Insurance Policy #2341927 issued by Service which is attached as Exhibit "B". On November 24, 1969, Ms. McConnell became the insured on an Industrial Insurance Policy #14758551, which was issued by Service and is attached as Exhibit "C". On June 25, 1970, Ms McConnell became the insured on an Industrial Insurance Policy #15153077, which was issued by Liberty National and is attached as Exhibit "D". On May 13, 1974, Ms. McConnell became the insured on an Industrial Insurance Policy #21460692, which was issued by Liberty National Insurance Company and attached as Exhibit "E".
- 33. Ms. McConnell paid her premiums based upon a racially discriminatory premium rate and as a result paid more for her policy than similarly situated white individuals would pay. Ms. McConnell was also discriminated against as a result of Liberty National's discriminatory practices outlined above.
 - 34. The premiums on the policies were established based upon a weekly debit collection

system. The costs associated with this system were loaded into the premiums at the time of issuance. Despite Liberty National's termination of the weekly debit collection system, Liberty National continued to collect the same premium amounts.

Spencer Williams

- 35. Mr. Williams is a member of the Class defined above.
- 36. On April 5, 1965, Mr. Williams became the insured on an Industrial Insurance Policy #2341929, which was issued by Service and is attached as Exhibit "F". On November 24, 1969, Mr. Williams became the insured on an Industrial Insurance Policy #14758553, which was issued by Service and is attached as Exhibit "G". On August 18, 1975, Mr. Williams became he insured on an Industrial Insurance Policy #22289217, which was issued by Liberty National Insurance Company and is attached as Exhibit H.
- 37. Mr. Williams paid his premiums based upon a racially discriminatory premium rate and as a result paid more for his policy than similarly situated Caucasian individuals would pay. In addition, Mr. Williams was discriminated against as a result of Liberty National's discriminatory practices alleged above.
 - 38. Ms. Bowers is a member of the Class defined above.
- 39. The premiums on the policies were established based upon a weekly debit collection system. The costs associated with this system were loaded into the premiums at the time of issuance. Despite Liberty National's termination of the weekly debit collection system, Liberty National continued to collect the same premium amounts.

Anita Bowers

- 40. On April 5, 1965, Ms. Bowers became the insured on an Industrial Insurance Policy #2341930, which was issued by Service Insurance Company of Alabama and is attached as Exhibit I. On November 24, 1969, Ms. Bowers became the insured on an Industrial Insurance Policy #14758555, which was issued by Service and is attached as Exhibit "J". On August 11, 1975, Ms. Bowers became the insured on an Industrial Insurance Policy #22275736, which was issued by Liberty National and is attached as Exhibit "K.".
- 41. Ms. Bowers paid her premiums based upon a racially discriminatory premium rate and as a result paid more for her policy than similarly situated Caucasian individuals would pay. In addition, Ms. Bowers was discriminated against as a result of Liberty National's discriminatory practices alleged above.
- 42. The premiums on the policies were established based upon a weekly debit collection system. The costs associated with this system were loaded into the premiums at the time of issuance. Despite Liberty National's termination of the weekly debit collection system, Liberty National continued to collect the same premium amounts.

IV. ALLEGATIONS REGARDING LIBERTY NATIONAL'S FIDUCIARY DUTY AND RELATIONSHIP OF TRUST AND CONFIDENCE WITH CLASS MEMBERS

- 43. Liberty National Insurance Company has repeatedly acknowledged and affirmed its relationship of trust and confidence with its policyholders, including Plaintiffs and the Class defined herein.
 - 44. Liberty National Insurance Company held and holds a relationship of trust and

confidence with Plaintiffs and Class Members as a result of the following:

- Liberty National Insurance Company is an insurer which subjects Liberty a) National to more stringent standards of conduct than those normally arising out of contract;
- b) Liberty National Insurance Company cultivated a relationship of trust and confidence in Plaintiffs and Class Members, through inter alia, Liberty National's marketing, sales literature and sales presentations and servicing of the Industrial Insurance products purchased by Plaintiffs and Class Members;
- The Industrial Policies purchased by Plaintiffs and Class Members were c) contracts of adhesion that were prepared by Liberty Natio al and were not subject to negotiation. Plaintiffs and Class Members did not possess bargaining power equal to that of Liberty National.
- d) Policy owners often had prior relationships with Liberty National, and Liberty National trained and/or allowed its agents to abuse and manipulate those relationships to create trust and confidence in Plaintiffs and Class Members and used such trust and confidence to sell Liberty National Industrial Policies rather than insurance or other products which were appropriate and suitable to Plaintiffs and the Class.
- 45. Based on the foregoing, Liberty National owed Plaintiffs and Class Members fiduciary duties, including the duty to not discriminate, the duty of good faith and fair dealing, the duty of full and fair disclosure, and the duty of care arising out of its relationship with Plaintiffs and Class Members.
 - 46. Liberty National Insurance Company had a duty to provide complete and truthful

- 47. By engaging in the conduct alleged herein, Liberty National breached its duties to Plaintiffs and Class Members by omitting and failing to disclose, while under a duty to do so, numerous material facts as set forth fully throughout this Complaint. Plaintiffs and the Class suffered economic damages as a result of the breach.
- 48. In addition to its duties derived from its relationship of trust and confidence, Liberty Nat onal had an independent duty to disclose information to Plaintiffs and Class Members by virtue of its special relationship with them. Liberty National had sole knowledge of, or access to, material facts including, but not limited to:
 - a) the basis for calculation of the premium on its Industrial Insurance Policies;
 - b) the basis for premium pricing for Caucasian and African-American applicants for insurance;
 - c) the fact that during the normal life expectancy of Plaintiffs and the Class the total of premiums paid would in instances exceed the face value or cash value of the policy;
 - d) the net premium paid for the Policies;
 - e) that other more suitable insurance or other products were available which would have better served the interests of Plaintiffs and the Class and at less or comparable cost; and

- f) That premiums on Industrial Policies were expense loaded with costs allegedly associated with the debit system, and that, upon cancellation of the debit system, these expenses were not deducted from the premium.
- 49. Liberty National Insurance Company intentionally kept Plaintiffs and Class Members uninformed of these facts and capitalized on its sole possession of the material facts.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 50. This case is brought as a class action under Rule 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiffs seek certification of this action as a class action on behalf of all African-American persons who have (or had at the time of the Policy's termination), an ownership interest in one or more Industrial Life Insurance Policies issued, serviced, administered or purchased from Liberty National, and who were harmed by the conduct alleged herein ("the Class"). This case is properly brought as a class action under Rule 23, for the reasons set forth in the following paragraphs
- This action is appropriate as a class action pursuant to Rule 23. Since Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief for the entire Class, the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for Liberty National. Further, adjudications with respect to individual Class Members would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of other Class Members who are not parties to the adjudication and may impair and impede their ability to protect their interests.
 - 52. Membership in the Class is so numerous that separate joinder of each member is

impracticable. The number of Class Members is unknown but can be easily determined from the records of Liberty National. Plaintiffs believe that there are thousands of persons in the Class. Although Plaintiffs do not presently know the names of all Class Members, their identities and addresses can be readily ascertained from Liberty National's records.

- 53. The named Plaintiffs are members of the Class of victims described herein. They were subject to a fraudulent scheme and common course of conduct by Liberty National and purchased Industrial Life Insurance from Liberty National based upon the deceptive sales and policy administration practices described herein.
- There are numerous and substantial questions of law and fact common to all Class 54. Members which control this litigation and which predominate over any individual issues. Included within the common questions are:
 - Whether Liberty National discriminated against Class Members by charging a) them more in premiums for Industrial Life Insurance than similarly situated Caucasian people; whether Liberty National discriminated against Class Members by offering only substandard products to African-Americans; whether Liberty National discriminated against Class Members by altering the debit system of collection unilaterally;
 - b) Whether Liberty National devised and deployed a scheme or artifice to defraud or engaged in a common course of business which acted to defraud or deceive Plaintiffs and Class Members and/or committed an imposition against the Plaintiffs and the Class by exacting unreasonable premiums for its Industrial Policies through taking advantage of its position of superior knowledge;

- c) Whether Liberty National routinely engaged in fraudulent and deceptive acts and practices and courses of business in the sale and administration of its Industrial Policies, overreached and otherwise took unfair advantage of its position relative to the Plaintiffs and the Class;
- d) Whether Liberty National routinely failed to disclose to Plaintiffs and Class Members, material information such as the actual basis on which premiums would be calculated and the likelihood that, during the policyholder's normal life expectancy premium payments would exceed the face value of the policies or a reasonable amount of total premiums;
- e) Whether Liberty National developed, encouraged and engaged in a scheme designed to sell new Industrial Policies through fraudulent concealment of material facts;
- f) Whether Liberty National failed to supervise and train its agents who engaged in the schemes described herein and failed to prevent its agents from violating uniformly applicable state insurance laws and regulations;
- g) Whether Liberty National breached its contracts with the Plaintiffs and the Class by refusing or deliberately failing to credit premium payments to Industrial Policies in an effort to cause such Policies to lapse;
- h) Whether Liberty National failed to adequately supervise, educate and train its agents regarding the terms of its Policies, appropriate methods of sales presentations, creation of sales materials and information, and compliance with state and federal laws (including state insurance laws and regulations), and whether Liberty National's failure to do so

constituted a means by which Liberty National engaged in the schemes described herein;

- I) Whether Liberty National negligently hired, retained or promoted agents to sell Industrial Policies to Plaintiffs and Class Members, when such agents engaged in the wrongful practices alleged herein;
- j) Whether Liberty National engaged in a nationwide fraudulent course of conduct in targeting the sale of Industrial Life Insurance to an economically disadvantaged and unsophisticated segment of the population and marketed and sold such policies in a manner to induce the purchase of the Industrial Policies by Plaintiffs and Class Members, and whether Liberty National discriminated against Class Members in establishing pren turns for Industrial Policies;
- k) Whether Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to specific performance, injunctive relief or other equitable relief against Liberty National;
- Whether Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to an award of punitive damages against Liberty National;
- m) Whether Plaintiffs and Class Members have sustained damages and the proper measure of damages; and
- n) Whether Liberty National terminated or altered the debit system and failed to provide a premium rebate or reduction, even though the Policies were expense loaded for weekly collection.
- 55. The claims of the Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class, and Plaintiffs have no interest adverse to the interests of other Class.

- 56. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and has retained counsel experienced and competent in prosecution of insurance class actions and complex litigation.
- 57. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Absent a class action, Class Members will continue to suffer damage and Liberty National's violations of law will proceed without remedy while Liberty National continues to retain the proceeds of its ill-gotten gains.
- Most individual Class Members have little ability to prosecute an individual action, due to the complexity of the issues involved in this litigation, the size and scope of Liberty National's uniform sales scheme, the significant costs attendant to litigation on this scale, and the comparatively small, although significant, damages suffered by individual Class Members.
- 59. This action will result in an orderly and expeditious administration of Class claims. Economies of time, effort and expense will be fostered and uniformity of decisions will be insured.
- 60. This action presents no difficulty that would impede its management by the Court as a class action and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of their claims.
- 61. Plaintiffs seek preliminary and permanent injunctive and equitable relief on behalf of the entire Class, on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, to require Liberty National to specifically perform the Policies as represented and to disgorge any premium overcharges.

COUNT I (Race Discrimination 42 USC § 1981)

62. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege, and incorporate herein by reference paragraphs 5 through

61 above as if fully set forth herein.

- 63. Defendant intentionally discriminated against Plaintiffs and Class Members by charging them higher premiums than those charged to similarly situated Caucasian policyholders and by prohibiting or specifically instructing their agents to not offer or sell participatory whole life or other reasonably priced policies or products to African-Americans.
- By charging higher premiums to African-Americans and refusing to offer participatory 64. whole life or other reasonably priced policies or products to African-Americans, Defendant violated the rights of Plaintiffs and Class Members to make and enforce contracts on the same terms as Caucasian policyholders.
- Defendant's actions violate 42 U.S.C. § 1981, as well as the rights of Plaintiffs and 65. the Class under the Fifth, Thirteenth, and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States.
- Defendant has damaged Plaintiffs and Class Members because Plaintiffs and the Class 66. have suffered economic loss and mental anguish as a result Defendant's illegal racial discrimination.

COUNT II (Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

- 67. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 5 through 61 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 68. Liberty National Insurance Company is an insurance carrier. The life insurance business involves elements of public trust which subject Liberty National to more stringent standards of conduct than those normally arising out of contract.

- 69. In connection with the sales of Industrial Insurance Policies, Liberty National agents, with the aid and/or under the direction of Liberty National officers, managers and other high-level personnel located at its headquarters and elsewhere, were trained to provide advice and counsel to Liberty National policy owners on the subject of what would be in the policy owner's best interest with respect to the acquisition of Industrial Insurance coverage.
- 70. Liberty National Insurance Company, held itself and its agents out as highly skilled insurance experts, creating the image of insurance expertise, possessing the special knowledge and expertise needed to interpret and understand the mechanics of the Policies. Liberty National encouraged Plaintiffs and Class Members to rely on this special knowledge and expertise in purchasing the Policies, and counseled Plaintiffs and Class Members concerning the insurance Liberty National was selling.
- Class Members concerning not only the insurance products available, but also whether Industrial Insurance coverage was appropriate and to what extent the policyholder was at risk for paying more in premiums than the face value of the Policies or than an amount which reasonably should have been paid. The relationship between Liberty National, by and through its agent, and Plaintiffs and Class Members, was calculated and intended by Liberty National to cause the Plaintiffs and Class Members to repose confidence and trust in Liberty National related to insurance.
- 72. Liberty National Insurance Company, by and through its agents, knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and Class Members placed this confidence and trust in the Company and, by

and through its agents, accepted the confidence and trust reposed in the Company by Plaintiffs and Class Members.

- 73. Liberty National Insurance Company knew or should have known that Plaintiffs and Class Members generally had no prior training, expertise or knowledge concerning insurance coverage and were unsophisticated insurance consumers ill-equipped to understand the unfamiliar and technical language of the Policies. Plaintiffs and Class Members relied solely upon the advice, recommendations and explanations of the Policies provided by Liberty National and its agents.
- 74. The Policies purchased by Plaintiffs and Class Members were contracts of adhesion that were prepared by Liberty National and were not the subject of negotiation and Plaintiffs and Class Members did not possess equal bargaining power.
- 75. Class Members often had prior relationships with Liberty National agents, and Liberty National and those agents manipulated such relationships to create trust and confidence in Liberty National and to use such trust and confidence to sell insurance.
- 76. As a result of the circumstances described above, Liberty National, by and through its agents, created a fiduciary relationship between themselves and Plaintiffs and Class Members. As a result of this fiduciary relationship, Liberty National and its agents were required to do the following:
 - a) Refrain from discrimination against African-Americans in the sale and administration of insurance policies;
 - b) Make full and fair disclosure to Plaintiffs and Class Members regarding the nature of the product being sold and the financial effect of the transaction on the customer;

- c) Provide any information necessary to make other representations provided not misleading;
 - d) Cure any prior misrepresentations;
- e) Advise Plaintiffs and Class Members of the commissions that were being earned by the agents as a result of the transaction, the sales load and the administrative charges being earned by Liberty National;
- f) Act in a way that was beneficial to, and not detrimental to, Plaintiffs and Class Members; and
- g) Refrain from making statements or sales presentations which contained misrepresentations;
- h) Refrain from changing the terms of the Policy and/or representations unilaterally.
- 77. Liberty National Insurance Company and its agents as fiduciaries, owed to Plaintiffs and Class Members a duty to refrain from self-dealing, a duty of loyalty, an overall duty not to take unfair advantage of Plaintiffs and a duty not to conceal from Plaintiffs and Class Members facts which were pertinent and material to the sale of insurance to them. In executing the sales scheme described above, Liberty National, by and through its agents, knowingly, recklessly, maliciously and with intent to defraud, took unfair advantage of Plaintiffs and the Class, concealed pertinent and material information from Plaintiffs and Class Members in selling coverage to them.
 - 78. Plaintiffs and Class Members had a right to rely upon Liberty National agents to

disclose to them, and not to conceal from them, pertinent and material facts in connection with the sale of insurance to them. Plaintiffs and Class Members did rely on Liberty National and its agents which resulted in damages to them, including punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT III (Assumpsit or Money Had and Received)

- 79. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in paragraphs 5 through 61 above, as if fully set forth herein.
- As a result of the conduct of Libert. National outlined above, Liberty National took advantage of its position relative to the Plaintiffs and the Class and exacted a greater price for its Industrial Policies than was fair and reasonable. At all times in these transactions due to the superior knowledge of Liberty National, Plaintiffs and the Class had no meaningful choice or ability to negotiate the terms of the agreement.
- 81. The exaction by Liberty National of the unreasonable premiums outlined above was achieved through imposition, imposture, fraud, deception, cheat, artifice, trickery, trick, coercion, extortion and/or oppression.
- 82. In equity and good conscience Plaintiffs and the Class ought to have the relief they seek in this action, and Liberty National ought not be permitted to retain it.
- 83. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs and the Class have been damaged and seek return of all premium payments paid in excess of the face value of the Policies or in excess of what

reasonably should have been paid for the Policies in a specified amount to be determined at the trial in this action.

COUNT IV (Declaratory and Injunctive Relief)

- 84. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in paragraph 5 through 61 above, as if fully set forth herein.
- 85. As stated above, Liberty National and its agents are permitted by law to establish rates and collect premiums only if those rates and premiums are not excessive and unreasonable and/or racially discriminatory.
- 86. Plaintiffs, for themselves and on behalf of the Class, are in doubt as to their rights under the policies and seek a declaration of the rights and liabilities of the parties, including a judgment declaring that Liberty National must charge premiums for Industrial Insurance Policies which are non-discriminatory, are reasonable, and not excessive and unconscionable. Plaintiffs and the Class further seek a declaration that any Policy which requires the payment of premiums which are racially discriminatory or which exceed a rate which is actuarially proper in light of the benefits provided is unconscionable and illegal.
- Plaintiffs, for themselves and on behalf of the Class, seeks injunctive relief enjoining Liberty National from collecting premium payments on any Policy where the premiums paid are discriminatory or exceed a rate which is actuarially proper in light of the benefits provided. Plaintiffs seek disgorgement of all premiums paid on such Policies with interest. Plaintiffs further seek a mandatory injunction requiring Liberty National to treat any Policy on which the premiums

charged exceed the face value of the Policy and/or the amount that reasonably should have been charged based upon sound and proper actuarial principles, as a paid-up Policy and to provide such other relief as is just and proper including recissionary relief.

- 88. Plaintiffs and Class Members have no adequate remedy at law.
- 89. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs and Class Members are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief as set forth above.

COUNT V (Unjust Enrichment and Imposition of a Constructive Trust)

- 90. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in paragraphs 5 through 61 above, as if fully set forth herein.
- 91. As a result of the relationships between the parties and the facts as stated above, a constructive trust should be established over the monies paid by Plaintiffs and Class Members, as policy premiums, to the extent the total of those premiums are racially discriminatory and/or exceed the face value of any Policy or the amount which reasonably should have been paid based upon sound and proper actuarial principles. To the extent premiums have been paid by African-Americans pursuant to Liberty National's discriminatory practices, and, as a result, such contracts are illegal as a matter of law. Such monies are traceable to Liberty National, which is the current possessor of such funds.
- 92. Liberty National Insurance Company received from Class Members premium payments which are racially discriminatory, excessive and unreasonable and are the result of overcharging and overreaching and racially discriminatory practices.

- 93. As a result, Plaintiffs and the Class have conferred a benefit on Liberty National and Liberty National has knowledge of this benefit and has voluntarily accepted and retained the benefit conferred on it. Liberty National will be unjustly enriched if it is allowed to retain such funds, and, therefore, a constructive trust should be imposed on all monies wrongfully obtained by Liberty National.
 - 94. Plaintiffs and Class Members have no adequate remedy at law.
- 95. By reason of the foregoing, Plaintiffs and Class Members have been irreparably harmed and are entitled to imposition of a constructive trust as set forth above.

COUNT VI

(Improper Hiring, Supervision, Retention, and Failure to Monitor Actions of Officers, and Agents and/or Employees)

- 96. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate herein by reference, paragraphs 5 through 61 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 97. At the time the acts and omissions complained of were performed, Defendant's agents, actuaries and employees were not competent, qualified or capable of protecting the interests of Defendant's customers in regard to Policies.
- 98. Defendant, Liberty National knew or should have known that its agents, actuaries and employees were not acting in a competent, qualified or capable manner as to their actions towards Plaintiffs and the Class.
- 99. Defendant has a duty to monitor and/or supervise the activities of its agents and actuaries.

- 100. Defendant, Liberty National, intentionally, knowingly, recklessly and/or negligently failed to monitor the actions of its agents, actuaries and employees; train its agents, actuaries and employees to refrain from racial discrimination, to properly handle the insurance interests of its customers and properly follow and/or apply its rules, regulations, policies and procedures resulting in damage to Plaintiffs and the Class including but not limited to forgery of policy lien documents by agents, racially discriminatory and unreasonable premiums, and the purchase of inappropriate and unsuitable Policies.
- 101. Defendant, Liberty National, knew or should have known of the unfitness of its agents and actuaries but nonetheless employed and continued to employ them.
- 102. Defendant authorized the wrongful acts and omissions of its agents and actuaries in issuing the Policies and/or collecting or establishing the premiums on same.
- 103. Defendant ratified the wrongful acts and omissions of its agents and actuaries in issuing the Policies and collecting premiums on same.
 - 104. Defendant was aware of the unfitness of its agents and actuaries.
- 105. The acts and omissions of Defendant's agents and actuaries in issuing the Policy and establishing and collecting the of premiums on same were calculated to and did benefit Liberty National.
- 106. As a proximate result of Liberty National's acts and omissions, Plaintiffs suffered economic loss.

COUNT VII (Breach of Contract)

- 107. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate herein by reference, paragraphs 5 through 61 above.
- 108. As a material part of the insurance agreement between Liberty National and Plaintiffs and the Class (either through the express terms of the policies, applicable statutory provisions or the course of dealing of the parties) from inception of certain policies, premium payments were collected by debit agents for Liberty National on a weekly basis.
- 109. Liberty National Insurance Company has unilaterally dismantled and/or altered the established weekly debit premium collection system which had been the established method of premium collection since inception of certain policies, and thereafter required premium payments to be made directly to Liberty National by Plaintiffs and the Class or collected them on a less frequent basis. At the same time, Liberty National continued to demand and collect the same premium paid under the original debit system even though it was no longer providing the same debit collection to the policyholder. In this respect Liberty National collected premiums for coverage which was not provided, or in other words, collected unearned premiums.
- 110. By dismantling or altering the established debit premium collection system and thereby unilaterally altering the method of premium collection, Liberty National breached a material contractual term of its agreement with Plaintiffs. As a result of the breach, Plaintiffs and the Class have suffered economic damage. By paying premiums directly rather than through the debit procedure contemplated at the time of contracting, class members have lost a bargained-for service

with no corresponding decrease in premiums. Plaintiffs have also been forced to incur additional burdens and expenses in making such payments. In addition, Liberty National's discontinuance of the debit premium collection system resulted in the lapse of numerous policies.

COUNT VIII (Fraudulent Inducement)

- Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate herein by reference, paragraphs 5 through 111. 61 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 112. As set forth above, Defendant made affirmative misrepresentations to Plaintiffs and concealed material facts from Plaintiffs.
- At the time the misrepresentations were made, Defendant knew that the representations were false, or made or caused to be made such representations without knowledge of the truth or falsity of such representations.
- 114. Defendant made the misrepresentations in order to induce Plaintiffs to rely on the misrepresentations. Plaintiffs reasonably and justifiably relied on the misrepresentations and omissions.
- As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiffs' reasonable and justifiable reliance on 115. the affirmative misrepresentations and omitted material facts, Plaintiffs have suffered mental anguish and monetary and other damages.

COUNT IX (Negligent Misrepresentation)

Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate herein by reference, paragraphs 5 through 61 above as though fully set forth herein.

- As set forth above, Defendant made affirmative misrepresentations to Plaintiffs and 117. concealed material facts from Plaintiffs.
- At the time the misrepresentations were made, Defendant should have known that the representations were false, or made or caused to be made such representations without knowledge of the truth or falsity of such representations.
- Defendant made the misrepresentations in order to induce Plaintiffs to rely on the 119. misrepresentations. Plaintiffs reasonably and justifiably relied on the misrepresentations and omissions.
- As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiffs reasonable and justifiable reliance on 120. the affirmative misrepresentations and omitted material facts, Plaintiffs have suffered mental anguish and monetary and other damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Liberty National for themselves and Class Members as follows:

- An order determining that the action is a proper class action pursuant to Rule a) 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class compensatory and punitive damages in an b) amount to be proven at trial for the wrongful acts complained of;
- Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class their costs and disbursements incurred in c) connection with this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees, expert witness fees and other costs;
 - Granting extraordinary equitable and/or injunctive relief as permitted by law d) or equity, including attaching, impounding or imposing a constructive trust upon, or

otherwise restricting, the proceeds of Liberty National's ill-gotten funds to ensure Plaintiffs and Class Members have an effective remedy; and

- e) Granting the declaratory and injunctive relief contained in paragraphs 86 and 87 of Count IV, the Declaratory Judgment count; and
- f) Granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper including but not limited to recissionary relief and reformation.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, hereby demand trial by

jury on all issues triable at law.

W. Christian Hoyer, Esquire Christa L. Collins, Esquire James, Hoyer, Newcomer, Forizs & Smiljanich, P.A. One Urban Centre 4830 W. Kennedy Blvd. Suite 147 Tampa, Florida 33609 (813) 286-4100

(913) 286-4174

Charlene P. Cullen, Esquire
Charlene P. Cullen, Esquire
Whatley Drake, L.L.C.
1100 Financial Center
505 20th Street North
Birmingham, Alabama 35203
Office (205) 328-9576
Fax (205) 328-9669

Page 34 of 40

Herman Watson, Esquire Rebekah Keith, Esquire Watson Jimmerson, P.C. 200 Clinton Avenue West, Suite 800 Post Office Box 46 Huntsville, AL 35804 Office: (256) 536-7423 Fax: (256) 536-2689

Melvyn I. Weiss, Esquire Milberg, Weiss, Bershad, Hynes & Lerach, L.L.P. One Pennsylvania Plaza New York, NY 10119-0165 Office: (212) 594-5300 Fax: (212) 868-1229

John J. Stoia, Jr., Esquire Milberg, Weiss, Bershad, Hynes & Lerach, LLP 600 W. Broadway 1800 One America Plaza San Diego, CA 92101 Office (619) 231-1058 Fax (619) 231-7423

Andrew S. Friedman, Esquire Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman & Balint 4041 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1100 Phoenix, AZ 85012 Office: (602) 274-1100 Fax: (602) 274-1199

Ron Parry, Esquire Arnzen, Parry & Wentz, P.S.C. 128 East Second Street P.O. Box 472 Covington, KY 41012-0472 Office (606) 431-6100 Fax (606) 431-2211

N:\LIBNATBU\PLEADING\COMPLAIN.WPD

|--|

				sci	EDU	L E		- W	
	NAME OF INSU	RED			Æ.	BENEFICIARY		7	YPE FOLICY
мос	DRE ELLEN	G		MOOF	REIPA	ULINE		сх	СХ
cx					7.87		\$		-
	929921	1.1.	15	5 4	5	5 5	5 0 0	4	115
	POLICY NUMBER	мо.	DAY DATE OF 133	YA. UE	AGE.	(CENTS)	AMOUNT OF	OIST.	oean

DATE ENDORSED	BENEFICIARY	ENDORSED BY
	•	
·		
	•.	-
		-

; v.

Burial Serv	vice Company of Ala hercin, the undersig	bama having dis ned beneficiary	charged e under thi	sach and eve s policy here	ry obligation and l	iability set forth
certifies that th	ere is held against t	he said company	no furth	er claims ho	eunder.	
	•	~ *				
	•	•		Ē		
				•		
	WITHESS	·			BENEFICIARY	
Duted at			th/s	day	of	19

Service Of Alabama

BIRMINGHAM, ALA.

Will pay to the heneficiary in accordance with the provisions of this Policy the amount of insurance granted hereunder upon receipt of due proof of the death of the Insured whose name appears in the schedule on the fourth page hereof.

CONSIDERATION—The insurance is granted hereundar in consideration of the payment in advance of the weekly premium stated in the schedule on Page 4 hereof on or before each Monday heginning with the date of issue of this Policy and continuing until premiums shall have been paid for 10 years or until prior death of the Insured.

AMOUNT OF INSURANCE—The amount of of insurance hereunder is the amount set out in the schedule herein, unless at date of death the Insured is under three years of age, in which event, the amount payable for each \$100 set out in the said schedule shall be as follows:

- (a) Under three months of ago at death, twelve dollars;
- (b) Three months or over but under one year of age at death, eighteen dollars;
- (c) One year or over but under two years of ago at death, twenty-four dollars;
- (d) Two years or over but under three years of age at death, sixty-five dollars;
- (c) Three years of age or over at death, full benefit.
- (1) PAYMENT OF PREMIUM—All premiums are payable at the Home Office of the Company weekly in advance, but may be paid to an authorized representative of the Company, provided that such payment must be entered at the time in the premium receipt book belonging with this Policy. The failure of the collector to call for the premium on the Policy will not be an excuse for non-payment as the Insured will then be required to pay the premium at a Branch Office of the Company or remit the same to the Home Office.
- (2) PREMIUMS PAYABLE OTHER THAN WEEKLY—The premium stated in the schedule of this Policy is a weekly premium. However, if premiums are paid Annually (52 weeks) in advance at one time, such Annual Premium shall be calculated by multiplying the stated weekly premium by 46.8. If premiums are paid Semi-Annually (28 weeks) in advance at one time, the Semi-Annual Premiums shall be calculated by multiplying the weekly premium stated by 24.7.
- (3) CRACE PERIOD—A grace period of four weeks shall be granted for the payment of every premium after the first, during which time this Policy will remain in force subject to the terms hereof, but after the expiration of the said period of grace the Company's liability under this Policy shall cease except as to the Non-Forfeiture privileges herein contained.
- (4) REINSTATEMENT—In the event this Policy should lapse it may be reinstated at any time within three years after due date of the first premium in such default, upon the furnishing to the Company of evidence of insurability satisfactory to the Company and the payment of all premiums in default unless the Extended Insurance has expired or the Cash Surrender Value has been paid.
- (5) EFFECTIVE DATE—This Policy shall take effect on its date of issue, provided the Insured is then alive and in sound health, but not otherwise.

CONDITIONS AND PROVISIONS—This Policy is issued and accepted subject to all of the terms, conditions, provisions, schedules, registers and endorsements printed or written by the Company on this or the succeeding pages hereof, which are a part of this Policy as fully as if recited over the signatures hereto affixed.

In Witness Whereof, The Company has caused this Policy to be executed by its President and Secretary at its Home Office in Birmingham, Alabama, as of the date of issue appearing horoin.



allawn

•

10 PAYMENT LIFE INSURANCE—PREMIUMS PAYABLE 10 YEARS

TABLE OF NON-FORFEITURE BENEFITS

FOR A POLICY FOR WHICH THE AMOUNT OF INSURANCE IS \$100

		<u> </u>										<u> </u>												
Ago	Ent S	1 YE	Paid	ELL.	Pold	ELL.	PAR	Cash	Ext.	Pald	Cash	Eal.	PHE PHE	Carla		YEAR			YEAR			YEAR	3	
el Iesua	Maa.	Ins. Mos. A	Us Ins.	Isa. Maa.	Up Jac.	ine. Mes.	les.	Vatua	Ira. Moe.	Uy Inc.	Vetua	Ins.	Up Ida D	Value	Est. Ins. Mac.	Prid Up Inc.	Value	Est. Inc. Mas.	Ug Ug Uga	V alue	Mes	Puid Ue ins.	Ozen Value	Aga 31 lasu4
-4	22 -	120.	510-	-188=	1304	144	542-	AU	397	14	514	271	TEA.	117			a	<u> </u>		a	^		4	
1	35 37 48 86	120 127 128 127	19 26 20	187 182 181 178	31 7 31 32 32	243 236 236 222	41 41 33 44	11 12 12	304 306 290 283	я н н	16 14 18 18	373 366 368 358	84 84 17 97	18 18 19 20	443 438 438 428 422	\$77 ₄ 78 78 78 78	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	173 618 607 484 481	\$14 13 14 13 14	125 25 20 27 27	Pal Up Pal Up Pal Up Pal Up	1140 100 100 100 100	\$20 29 30 31	1 2 8 4
7 6 8 10	64 64 68 62	128 128 120 115 116	21 22 21 21 21 21	177 173 184 143 167	11 34 34 34 34	231 227 222 218 212	41 44 44 44	13 13 14 14	212 217 211 274 271	57 57 67	17 17 18 18	114 114 114 114	56 68 54 13 54	21 21 21 22 22	417 410 403 384 388	78 79 78 78	24 25 25 26 26 24	424 476 460 440 144	90 90 30 90	28 29 29 30	Pd. Us Pd. Us Pd. Us Pd. Us Pd. Us	100 100 100 100	32 31 34 34 34	1 7 5 9 10
11 12 13 14 16	34 54 34 44 43	38 34 31 31	71 71 11 12	182 147 143 144 137	HKKK	207 25/2 164 184 181	45 44 44	16 15	216 260 264 281 248	12 55 55 54 54	18 19 19 10 20	13231 317 312 304 301	()ed) 47 87 87 87	712	342 376 346 341 347	78 76 78 78 76 75	27 27 26 24 21	447 440 433 428 418	46 17 19 44 44	13 13 13 13	Pd Up Pd Up Pd Up Pd Up	100 100 100 100	34 34 37 37 37	11 12 13 14
14 17 1 16 10 20	41 20 138 130 37	84 83 82 82	27 28 22 12 22	134 133 132 131 130	มมากม	184 188 -184 182 100	****	16 16 16 17	242 236 238 237 232 228	85 86 85 85	20 20 21 21 21	294 291 284 281 274	14 15 54 64	24 28 - 26 - 11 21	184 344 334 331 321	79 76 78 78 78	20 20 30 31 31	412 408 306 181 364	18 14 16 19	14 34 ,36 34	94 15 Pd 15 Pd 15 Pd 15	164 190 190 160	38 58 40 41 42	18 17 18 18 19
21 22 23 24 25	78 79 79 78	12 12 13	n	130 129 124 127 128	n n n	176 174 173 171 168	2121	17 17 18 14 14	221 221 217 213 209	65 65 55 54 54	20 21 22 22	271 266 262 266 251	64 64 67 67	27 27 28 28 29	321 316 308 303 104	74 78 78 78 78 78	17 32 33 34 34	377 370 142 316 344	16 10 10 11 40	17 12 12 14 14	Pd. Up Pd. Up Pd. Up Pd. Up Pd. Up	100 100 100 100	42 43 44 46 46	11 12 13
28 27 29 29 10	40 40 40 40 40	42 32 41 30 78	22 23 23 24 23	124 122 128 118 118	11 12 14	146 162 164 164 161	44 45	19 19 26 20 21	204 201 144 162 187	38 68 68 88 34	24 25 26 28 28 29	246 240 216 278 274	67 67 67 67 67	25 30 21 31 31	250 284 277 271 284	74 72 73 75 76	14 24 16 17	3/1 313 776 318 311	32 36 50 86	41 41 42 43 44	Pd. Us Pd. Us Pd. Us Pd. Us Pd. Us	100	47 48 48 49 60	28 27 28 29 30
31 12 13 14 14	40 40 38 30 34	77 74 74 72 70	13 23 13 23	113 110 107 104 101	11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	148 144 140 128 132	44 44 44 44	21 21 22 23	182 177 173 168 163	36 54 64 53 84	27 27 28 28 20	214 213 207 202 106	47 47 47 47 47	32 33 34 34 38	268 262 246 236 236 232	78 76 74 78 78	16 40 40 41	304 184 266 282 274	50 30 37 33	48 44 46 47 48	Pri. Us Pri. Us Pri. Us Pri. Us Pri. Us	160 160	61 52 53	11 12 13 14 14
14 17 36 39 48	37 34 38 34 32	14 14 15 14	23 23 23	94 93 92 90 94	XXXX	128 124 120 118 112	4444	23 24 24 24	154 148 144 140	11 14 14	28 29 34 30 31	101 146 176 174 166	17 44 44 44	35 36 37 38	274 216 213 	75 78 78 77 77	44 46	247 760 251 246 238	50 50 10 00	44 60 61 11	Pd Up Pd Up Pd Up Pd Up Pd Up	100 100 100 100	14 67 10 10	34 37 36 31 31 40
41 42 43 44 44	30 26 28 27	87 34 31 81 60	11 11 11 11 13	83 80 77 74 77	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	104 105 101 14 34	4444	28 21 26 28 28 21	126 121 126 122 117	14 11 15 64	33 35 31 31	163 167 162 147 142	44 46 18 18	14 18 18 40 40	183 187 181 176 188	17 17 11 11	46 48 47 47 48	232 226 218 211 204	14 14 14 14 14	13 44 54 68 58	Pa Up Pa Up Pa Up Pa Up Pa Up	100	61 62 63 64 64	41 42 43 44
46 47 44 46 58	27 28 24 24 23	44 44 43 41	11 11 11	67 67 68	11 12 12 13	81 84 81 74	44 43 43 43 43	27 17 27 27 28	113 106 104 100 18	KEKE	33 34 34 35 35	134 131 128 121 116	14 14 15 15	45444	163 167 161 146 146	77 76 76 76	46 50 61 61	166 161 184 178 172	# ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## #	87 58 58 18 94	Pal Up Pal Up Pal Up Pal Up Pal Up	100 100 100	11 67 87 94 66	44 47 48 48
51 12 14 : 14	21 20 18 19	# # #	11 11 11 11	11 14 152 48 47	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	71 86 64 61	43 43 42 42	26 26 28 29 29	92 14 16 17	20202	34 34 37 37	111 106 102 97 23	11111	4444	134 129 124 116 113	74 74 74 74 75	52 52 53 53 53 54	160 160 144 145	84 84 84 86 87	41 41 41 44	Pd. Us Pd. Us Pd. Us Pd. Us	160	70 71 72 73 74	51 52 13 54
17 14 18 19	14 17 16 15 14	30 28 28 26 26	21 21 21 21	44 42 46 37 14	31 31 31 32	44 85 81 84 84	41 41 41 40	29 36 34 34 34	77 66 66 62 84	11 11 11	37 38 38 38	86 80 76 77	13 15 15 17	44447	165 164 16 16 17	74 78 74 74 74	****	137 122 127 120 110	87 87 87 87 87	7 2 2 2 3	Pd. Up Pd. Up Pd. Up Pd. Up	100	74 74 71 71	12 13 14 14

[&]quot;To obtain the amount of Paid-Up Insurance or the Cash Surrender Values for a policy of which the ultimate amount of Insurance is greater or less than \$100, the value should be increased or decreased proportionalsly; e.g., if the ultimate amount of fasurance is \$200 the value should be stoubled. The periods of Extended Insurance in the same whitever the amount of fundance.

ffromhuras must have been justed on this Policy for the number of years indicated in the first line of the foregoing table to obtain the herefits indicated.

- (6) MISSTATEMENT OF AGE—In the event the age at Issue is incorrectly stated herein, the Amount of Insurance horoof shall be such as the premiums paid would have purchased at the correct age, and all other benefits shall be based on such correct age and such amount of insurance.
- (7) INCONTESTABILITY—After this Policy has been continued in force during the lifetime of the Insured for a period of one year from its date of issue it shall thereafter be incontestable except for non-payment of premiums.
- (8) BENEFICIARY—By written notice to the Company the Insured may from time to time name a new beneficiary, subject to evidence of insurable interest satisfactory to the Company, but no such change shall be effective until endorsed on this Policy by the Company.

If the beneficiary dies before the Insured the Estate of the Insured shall then automatically become the beneficiary thereof. If the Insured's estate is the Beneficiary, the Company will make payment to the Insured's executor or administrator, provided, however, that the Company may make payments to any relative by blood or marriage, or to any person appearing to the Company to be equitably entitled to such payment because of having incurred expense for the maintenance, medical attention or burial of the Insured. If the beneficiary is a inlinor, or is otherwise not legally qualified to give a valid release at the time of payment hereof the Company may make payment to any person who furnishes evidence satisfactory to the Company that such person is responsible for, or is actually contributing to the support of the beneficiary.

- (9) POLICY CONTROL—If the Insured hereunder is a minor, during the minority of such Insured, the right to change the beneficiary and exercise all the rights of ownership under this Policy shall be vested in the heneficiary named herein from time to time; or if such beneficiary dies before the Insured, then such rights shall be vested in the surviving parent of the Insured, or in the legal guardian of the Insured, or in any adult having the custody and control of said minor. After the Insured becomes of age, the entire ownership and control of this Policy shall be vested in the Insured.
 - (10) ASSIGNMENT-Neither this Policy, nor any benefit hereunder can be assigned.
- (11) LOSS OF EYESIGHT OR LIMBS—After the third anniversary of the Insured's birth and during the lifetime of the Insured, if the Company shall receive due proof that during the continuance of this Policy, otherwise than as Extended Insurance or reduced Paid-Up Insurance provided in the Non-Forfeiture Benefits, the Insured has suffered any of the losses set forth below solely as a result of disease contracted or injuries sustained after the date horeof and that thirty duys have clapsed since such loss, total and permanent disability shall then be deemed to exist, and upon surrender of this Policy and its premium receipt book, the Company will make immediate payment as set forth below, provided, however, that such loss was not sustained from service in the Military or Naval forces of any country at war.

A sum equal to the amount insured hereunder shall be payable in the event of

- (i) loss by severance of both hands at or above the wrists;
- (ii) loss by severance of both feet at or above the ankles;
- (iii) loss by severance of one hand at or above the wrist and one foot at or above the ankle;
- (iv) complete and irrecoverable loss of sight of both eyes prior to the seventleth anniversary of the Insured's birth.

In addition to the payments set out herein for such loss the Company will endorse this Policy with a waiver of all further premiums, paying at death the amount insured hereunder.

- (12) OPTION TO SURRENDER WITHIN TWO WEEKS—If the terms of this Policy are not accepted and agreed to it may be surrendered for cancellation at the District Office of the Company through which it was delivered within two weeks from the date heroof and all premiums paid will be refunded.
- (13) PRIVILEGE OF EXCHANGE—Upon written application and evidence of insurability satisfactory to the Company this Policy may be surrendered to the Company in exchange for another policy on any plan then issued by the Company requiring premium payments less frequent than weekly, provided, the new policy is for at least the minimum amount issued by the Company on the plan applied for. In executing such change the full reserve on this Policy shall be applied to reduce premium payments on the new policy in accordance with the terms and conditions then agreed upon with the Company.
- (14) NON-FORFEITURE BENEFITS—Extended Insurance—In the event this Policy lapses after premiums have been paid for the respective periods shown in the Table of Non-Forfeiture Values herein the Amount of Insurance granted under this Policy shall be automatically continued in force as Extended Insurance for the number of months specified in the column marked "A" in the said Non-Forfeiture Table. The term of Extended Insurance shall commence on the due date of the first premium in default.
- (A) PAID-UP LIFE INSURANCE—After this Policy has been in force with premiums paid for the number of years shown in the table below, the Insured may, by making written application upon blanks furnished by the Company within thirteen weeks of the due date of the first premium in default, have this Policy endorsed for a reduced amount of Paid-Up Life Insurance payable at the death of the Insured. Such amount shall be in accordance with the amount stated in Column "B" in the table of Non-Forfeiture Values, provided, however, that such amount of Paid-Up Life Insurance shall be in lieu of Extended Insurance.
- (B) CASH SURRENDER VALUE—After this Policy has been in force with premiums paid for five full years upon written request to the Company and the surrender of this Policy and all premium receipt books or other evidence of premium payments the Company will pay the Cash Surrender Value set out in Column "C" in the Table of Non-Forfeiture Values less any indebtedness due the Company hereon. Such written request must be made within thirteen weeks of the due date of the first premium in default.

The basis of reserves for this Policy is the 1941 Standard Industrial Mortality Table (Illinois Standard) with interest at 34% per year.

For the years subsequent to the 20th the values are to be the equivalent of the full reserves according to the foregoing standard. Proportionate increase will be made in the non-forfeiture values shown in the table for each additional completed quarter year of premium payments.

(15) ALTERATION AND WAIVERS—This Policy contains the entire agreement between the Company and the Insured. Its terms cannot be changed or its conditions varied, except by a written agreement, signed by the President or Secretary of the Company. No other person shall have the power to make or after contracts, whive forfeiture, or receive premiums on policies in arrears more than four weeks, or to receipt for the same, and all such arrears given to an agent or employee shall be at the risk of those who pay them and shall not be credited upon the Policy, whether receipted or not, except as set forth in the "Reinstatement" provision herein.

The maximum amount of cash insurance to any policyholder of this Company is limited to Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) for natural death. The total liability of this Company for all policies of cash insurance in force by it on the life of the person insured by this Policy for natural death shall be the lesser of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) or the